5/13/88 K.4

CAMP DRESSER & McKEE INC.

200 West Adams Street, Suite 1600

Chicago, Illinois 60606 312 786-1313

CDM

environmental engineers, scientists, planners, & management consultants

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5

May 13, 1988

Mr. Gregg Kulma Regional Project Officer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 230 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

Mr. Paul Bitter Remedial Project Manger U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 230 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

Subject:

Work Plan Approval in Preparation for Project

Close-Out of Reilly Tar and Chemical Site

Work Assignment No.: 76-5L06

Project:

REM II - EPA Contract No. 68-01-6939

Document No.:

177-WP1-WP-GDDT-1

Dear Messrs. Kulma and Bitter:

In preparation for close-out of the Reilly Tar and Chemical Site, it is necessary that a work plan based on costs incurred to date and close-out costs be approved. The attached Work Assignment Form and Optional Form 60 in conjunction with this letter will serve as the complete work plan for this work assignment.

On February 12, 1985, CDM Annandale and Roy F. Weston, Inc., received an unsigned Work Assignment from EPA to perform an RI/FS at the Reilly Tar and Chemical Company Site. On February 28, a signed copy of the same Work Assignment was received by CDM. One week later, on March 8, 1985, CDM received a revised Work Assignment (Doc. No. 177-WP1-IO-AUUU-1) from EPA which expanded the RI portion of the study. In accordance with the revised Work Assignment, CDM submitted a Work Plan Memorandum (Doc. No. 177-WP1-WM-AVAW-2) to EPA on April 3, 1985. After receiving EPA comments, the Work Plan Memorandum was resubmitted with an EPA exceptions memo dated April 10. The exception memo set up a meeting which occurred April 16, 1985 between Roy F. Weston, Inc., MPCA, USGS and EPA. On April 19, R. M. Bart, Weston's Project Manager sent a memo summarizing the results of the meeting to John W. Hawthorne of CDM, stating that CH, M Hill had been assigned the RI portion of the work and Roy F. Weston had been assigned the FS. On April 26, 1985, CDM submitted an Interim Work Assignment Amendment

Mr. Gregg Kulma Mr. Paul Bitter May 13, 1988 Page - 2 -

(Doc. No. 177-WP1-EP-BAUW-1) requesting additional LOE hours because of the new scope of work.

On August 23, 1985, EPA notified CDM in writing that the Reilly Tar and Chemical Project had been closed out. A Work Assignment Completion Report (Doc. No. 999-RG5-EP-7777-1) was submitted to EPA on September 27, 1985.

The Optional Form 60 gives the costs incurred to date on this project prior to cancellation. Also included in the summary table are the additional costs estimated to be incurred to close-out the contract. Currently, 509 LOE hours have been incurred to date at a cost of \$30,125. Total project LOE hours and costs are given in the Optional Form 60.

Close-out activities will include: preparation and submission of this work plan, completion of Work Assignment Completion Report, finalization and invoicing of the Phase II Award Fee, submission of final invoice and file transfer.

As you are aware, approval of this work plan is necessary before project close-out can be completed. If you have any questions or if we can provide any assistance, please call me or the Site Manager, Rik Lantz.

Sincerely,

CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE INC.

John H. Schroeter, P.E.

Region V Manager

JHS/RL:wg

Attachments

cc: J. Garforth, Contracting Officer, U.S. EPA

R. Quinn, Project Officer, U.S. EPA

J. Kingscott, Region V Coordinator, U.S. EPA

Jun Yoshitani, CDM Rich Culver, FPC Document Control File 177-00

PERFORMANCE OF REMEDIAL RESPONSE ACTIVITIES AT UNCONTROLLED HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES (REM II)

U.S. EPA CONTRACT NO. 68-01-6939

WORK PLAN
FOR
CLOSE-OUT OF THE REILLY TAR
AND CHEMICAL SITE

MAY 1988

EPA Work Assignment No.: 76-5L06
REM II Document No.: 177-WP1-WP-GDDT-1

Prepared By: Michael Bort REM II Site Manager	_ Date:_	5/16/88
Approved By: John H. Schroeter, P.E. REM II Region V Manager	_ Date:_	\$13/88
Approved By: Jonathan G. Curtis, F.E. Finance & Administration Manager	Date:	5/25/88

REM II REMEDIAL RESPONSE TEAM

TO:				DATE
Mr. Paul Bitter U.S. Environmental P	May 26, 1988			
0.3. Environmental r	SITE NAME			
				Reilly Tar
FROM:				SITE NUMBER
REGIONV				1'77
(NAME)TYPE OR ARII	_{NT} <u>Dawn M</u>	1. Kazmierczak	/-	DOCUMENT CONTROL NO.
SIGNATURE	MALL	Famuerca	ak	177-WP1-WP-GDDT-1
THE FOLLOWING DOCUME	NT(S)	O HEREWITH	O UN	DER SEPARATE COVER
ARE TRANSMITTED:		BÝ MESSENGER	01	HER()
TITLE	NO. OF COPIES	CIR	CULATIO	DN
Work Plan Approval in Preparation for Proj- ect Closeout of Reilly Tar and Chemic ical Site (Ref. Doc.	5	 		
No. 177-WP1-WP-GDDT)				
				.
THE DOCUMENTS CAN BE	CLASSIFIE	D AS THE FOL WING:		
		☐ APPROVED		RETURNED FOR CORRECTION AND RESUBMITTAL
		AS NOTED		PER YOUR REQUEST
	•	FOR YOUR INFORMATIO	_	CLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL
		Ø отнея: Distributio	n	
NOTE:				
		RETURNED TO:		

REMIS: Task Detail by Firm as of 04/23/88

REMIS: JCSUBTASK.RPT

5/05/88

DATE :

PAGE :

SITE 177 - REILLY TAR REGION SITE MANAGER - MICHAEL R. BORT WORK ASSIGNMENT 114F - 76-5L06.1 MANAGER - MICHAEL R. BORT LEAD FIRM - RFW Prof Hrs Prof \$ Supp Hrs Supp \$ Equipment Travel ODCS Sub Pool W/O Fees All Fees Total Phase WP1 ADMIN - ADMINISTRATION Incurred FPC CDM Subtotal Ω RFW Total Incurred: Budgeted: Variance: -12 -279 Ò n DELOE - WORK PLAN MEMO Incurred FPC n O O CDM O O Subtotal Ω n CLE ICF RFW . - - - -Total Incurred: **Budgeted:** -24 Variance: -9 INTRT - INTERIM RPT. İncurred Subtotal RFW

Ω

Ō

Ò

__ _

Total Incurred:

Budgeted: Variance: -26

-1340

REMIS: Task Detail by Firm as of 04/23/88

REMIS: JCSUBTASK.RPT DATE: 5/05/88 PAGE: 2

SITE 177 - REILLY TAR WORK ASSIGNMENT 114F		1			CHAEL R. BO Chael R. Bo			REGION LEAD FIR	5 RM - RFW	PAGE :	2
	Prof Hrs	Prof \$	Supp Hrs	Supp \$	Equipment	Travel	oncs	Sub Pool	W/O Fees	All Fees	Total
PŘPLN – PROJECT PLAN Incurred FPC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	, _0	0	0	0	8	8
RFW	0	0	7	191	0	``~35	176	0	402	14	416
Total Incurred Budgeted Variance	: 28	1293	7 8 1	191 194 3		35 0 -34	176 295 118	0 0 0	402 1782 1380	22	424
QUALT - QUALITATIVE A Incurred FPC		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Subtotal	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
RFW	0	0	5	205	0	0	0	0	205	7	212
Total Incurred Budgeted Variance	: 24	1785	5 24 19	205 583 378	0	0 0 0	0 100 100	0 0 0	205 2468 2263	11	216
WKPLN - WORK PLAN Incurred FPC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	323	323
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	323	323
CLE RFW		579 13268	3 41	74 1179	0 0	0 705	10 333	0	663 15486	23 542	686 16028
Total Incurred Budgeted Variance	i: 170	7073	44 64 20	1253 1553 299	0	705 460 -245	344 625 281	0 0 0	16149 9711 -6438	888	17037

REMIS: Task Detail by Firm as of 04/23/88

SITE MANAGER - MICHAEL R. BÓRT REGION 5 WA MANAGÉR - MICHAEL R. BORT LEAD FIRM - RFW REMIS: JCSUBTASK.RPT

5/05/88

DATE :

PAGE :

WORK ASSIGNMENT 114F - 76-5L06.1 Prof Hrs Prof \$ Supp Hrs Supp \$ Equipment Travel ODCS Sub Pool W/O Fees All Fees Totals for Phase WP1 FPC Incurred Ω CDM Subtotal CLE ICF O Ω a n n Λ n RFW Phase WP1 Total: Task2-BUD: Variance: -98 -438**3** -1065 -3488 -4553 Totals for WA 114F Incurred FPC n CDM Subtotal CLE ICF D D Ω Ð RFW (30125) 114F Total Incurred: Ω Budgeted: Variance: -4383 -1065 -1815 -2880

SITE 177 - REILLY TAR

CONTRACT PRICING PROPOSAL (Research and Development)		Office	of Managemen Approval No.		
This form is for use when (i)submission of pricing data is required and (ii) submissi Optional Form 99 is authorized by contract	į	1	No. of Pages:		
Name of Operator: CDM Federal Programs Corporation		j		to be Furnished:	
Home Office Address: 13135 Lee Jackson Memorial Highway, Fairfax, VA 22033	Suite 200	Reflly Tar Work Plan 			
Division and Locations(s) where work to be Fairfax, VA and others	performed:	Total Propo	421 402	Solicitation No.	
DETAIL DESCRIP	TION OF COST	ELEMENTS		TOTAL COST	
DIRECT MATERIAL MATERIAL OVERHEAD	**********			 	
3. DIRECT LABOR:	I EST HOURS	RATE/HOUR	EST COST	!	
Prof. LOE Hours Support Hours TOTAL DIRECT LABOR	i o	ĺ	\$0	 	
4. LABOR OVERHEAD:	 O.H. RATE	X BASE=	 EST COST	 	
TOTAL LABOR OVERHEAD	44.0%		\$ 0		
5. SPECIAL TESTING: 6. SPECIAL EQUIPMENT: 7. TRAVEL: TRANSPORTATION: PER DIEM SUBSISTENCE	T(OTAL TRAVEL	\$729 \$0	\$0 	
8. CONSULTANTS Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. R. F. Weston Clement Associates Total Team Subcontractors Subcontract Pool	LOE Hours 4 493 12 509	\$620 \$27,889 \$729 CONSULTANTS	\$29,238 \$0	\$29,238	
9. OTHER DIRECT COSTS				\$0	
10. 11. G & A EXPENSE 5.0% of Cost Items 3.7% of Cost Item 8	TOTAL (1,2,3,4,6,7,6	DIRECT COST / Bb.9 (less I rred)	AND OVERHEAD ncurred)	\$0 \$0	
14. FEE OR PROFIT See Exhibit A				 \$1,436	
15. TO	TAL ESTIMATE	D COST AND FI	EE OR PROFIT	\$31,403	

•

This proposal is submitted for use in conjunction with and in response to (Describe RFP, etc.) Work Assignment No. 76-5L06

and reflects our best estimates as of this date.

TYPED NAME AND TITLE

Jonathan G. Curtis, Senior Vice President

| SIGNATURE

DATE OF SUBMISSION

May 24, 1988

Hours

NAME OF FIRM CDM Federal Programs Corporation

EXHIBIT A - SUPPORTING SCHEDULE

8. CONSULTANTS

R. F. Weston

nours	•
493	\$21,122
96	\$2,670
589	\$23,792
303	4209732
	\$826
	V
	\$736
	\$25,354
	\$2,535
	\$Z,030
	\$27,889

continued on next page

I. Has any Executive Agency of the United States government performed any review of your accounts or records in connection with any other government prime contract or subcontract within the past year?

X Yes No

Name and address of reviewing office and individual USEPA, 150 Causeway ST., Boston, MA, Mr. Paul McKechnie

Telephone Number/Extension (617)223-0940

II.Will you require the use of any government property in the performance of this proposed contract?

X Yes _ No Government property has been furnished under Program Management.

III.Do you require government contract financing to perform this proposed contract?

X Yes _ No (If yes, identify.):_ Advance payments X Progress payments _ Guaranteed loans

I.V.Do you now hold any contract for the same or similar work called for by this contract? X Yes $_$ No (If yes, identify.): This is an amendment to Contract No. 68-01-6939

V.Does this cost summary conform with the cost principles set forth in Agency regulations? X Yes $_$ No

Exhibit A (continued)

8. CONSULTANTS (continued)

Clement Associates

	Hours	\$
Professional Labor Incurred to Date Clerical Labor Incurred to Date	12 3	\$579 \$74
Total Labor	15	\$653
Other Direct Costs Incurred to Date		\$10
Subtotal Fee		\$663 \$66
Total		\$729

14. FEE OR PROFIT

BASE PHASE 1 AWARD PHASE 2 AWARD	\$568 \$561 \$307
PRASE 2 AMARD	730/ ~~~~~
Total Fee	\$1,436

CONTRACT PRÍCING PROPOSAL (Research and Development)		Office	of Managemen Approval No.		
This form is for use when (i)submission of pricing data is required and (ii) submissi Optional Form 99 is authorized by contract	Page No.:		No. of Pages:		
Name of Operator: Camp Dresser & McKee Inc.	Supplies and/or Services to be Furni				
Home Office Address: One Center Plaza Boston, MA 02108	Reilly Tar and Chemical Work Plan 				
Division and Locations(s) where work to be Fairfax, VA, Chicago, IL, and others	•	[W.A. 76-5L06			
DETAIL DESCRIP	TION OF COST	ELEMENTS		TOTAL COST	
DIRECT MATERIAL MATERIAL OVERHEAD				† 	
	I EST HOURS	RATE/HOUR	EST COST	!	
Professional LOE Support Hours TOTAL DIRECT LABOR	4 9 ////////	 	\$130 \$95 ////////	 	
4. LABOR OVERHEAD:	O.H. RATE	 X BASE=	 EST COST		
Overhead	161.90%	\$225	\$364	! !	
TOTAL LABOR OVERHEAD	 ///////////////////////////////////	 <i> </i>	 ///////////////////////////////////	 \$364	
5. SPECIAL TESTING: 6. SPECIAL EQUIPMENT: 7. TRAVEL: TRANSPORTATION PER DIEM SUBSISTENCE			 	\$0	
D. TEN DIET 3000101ENCE		DTAL TRAVEL	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$0	
8. CONSULTANTS	LOE Hours	**	 	 	
Total Team Subcontractors Subcontract Pool		CONSULTANTS	\$0 \$0 \\///////////////////////////////////	\$0	
9. OTHER DIRECT COSTS See Exhibit	t A			\$31	
10. 11. GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE 12. ROYALTIES		DIRECT COST	AND OVERHEAD 0.00%	· ·	
12. RUYALITES 13.			STIMATED COST		
14. FEE OR PROFIT See CDM FP	C OF60			\$0	
	TAL ESTIMATE	D COST AND F	EE OR PROFIT	\$620	

This proposal is submitted for use in conjunction with and in response to (Describe RFP, etc.) Work Assignment No. 76-5L06 and reflects our best estimates as of this date. TYPED NAME AND TITLE SIGNATURE Jonathan G. Curtis. Senior Vice President DATE OF SUBMISSION NAME OF FIRM Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. May 24, 1988 EXHIBIT A - SUPPORTING SCHEDULE 3. DIRECT LABOR Category Hours Rate Professional Incurred to Date \$130 Professional Total \$130 **\$95** Support Incurred to Date 9 9 \$95 Support total Direct Labor 13 \$225 9. OTHER DIRECT COSTS ODCs Incurred to Date \$31 \$31 Total Other Direct Costs I. Has any Executive Agency of the United States government performed any review of your accounts or records in connection with any other government prime contract or subcontract within the past year? X Yes Name and address of reviewing office and individual Telephone Number/Extension USEPA, 150 Causeway ST., Boston, MA, Mr. Paul McKechnie (617)223-0940 II.Will you require the use of any government property in the performance of this proposed contract? Government property has been furnished under Program Management. III.Do you require government contract financing to perform this proposed contract? X Yes No (If yes, identify.): Advance payments X Progress payments _ Guaranteed loans

V.Does this cost summary conform with the cost principles set forth in Agency regulations? X Yes _ No

IV.Do you now hold any contract for the same or similar work called for by this contract?

X Yes _ No (If yes, identify.): This is an amendment to Contract No. 68-01-6939

ACTIVITY: RI/F	Ty Tar and Chem		-01-693		ASSIGNMENT NO.: 76-5L06
DATE: May 10	, 1988 сонтки	истоя сонтясь но.: До	c. # ₁₇₇	-WP1-WP-GDDT-1	MODIFICATION NO.: (Contracting Officer Use Only)
DESCRIPTION OF ACTION: NEW WORK ASSIGNMENT Interim SOW, schedule, and LOE Complete SOW estimated budget and schedules REQUIRED APPROVAL EPA REGION/HEADQUARTERS	INTERIM AMENDMENT Change in LOE, Scope by task Add additional tasks or lunde INCREMENTAL FUNDING EPA REGIONY HEADQUARTERS	PARTIAL WORK PLAN APPROVAL FINAL WORK PLAN APPROVAL Approval of work plan Add funds AMENDMENT TO FIN WORK PLAN APPROV Change in LOE, soop budget by task Add additional task of (include OF 60 or 50 or 60 or	AL /AL pe or priunds = 1411)	TECHNICAL DIRECTION MEMORAND Detailed scope, budget and schedule Revise expenditure level Minor shift within SOW (All changes must be within overall scope, budget, and LOE approved by EPA CO	(NO ATTACHMENTS) • Contractor originates • Regional determination • When signed by CO, this constitutes a stop work order
BUDGET INFORMATION	OTAL FUNDING RECEIVED	INTERIM BUDGET		APPROVED WORK PLAN BUDGET	EXPENDITURE LIMIT (EL) (1)**
CURRENT	53,000 (TECH	VICAL LOE) (S)*	(TECHNA)	0 0	(TECHNICAL LOE) (8)
THIS ACTION			5	09 31,403	
TOTAL			5	09 31,403	9
option - dollar estimate may be ta- regionally in expenditure limit block EPA setmated LOE hours at entire		ICLUDES FEES	* 184	CLUDES FEEB	(1) ESTABLISHED BY RPMSPO
WA COMPLETION DATE EPA COMMENTS:	CURRENT: May This project	10. 1988 will be closed		1SED:	
APPROVALS			EPA SIGNATUR	·	
	TOM	May 16/1988	REGIONAL PRO	EGT MANAGER	DATE
EMANAGER FINA		1		and profession	

CC: EPA Project Officer RPO/RPM Contractor EPA Contracting Officer (when only expenditure limit column is used)

ATTACH STATEMENT OF WORK

(PER DESCRIPTION OF ACTION)

WORK ASSIGNMENT FORM (WAF) INSTRUCTIONS

1. WORK ASSIGNMENT INFORMATION

- Project Name Site name or assignment abbreviation as stated in the WA.
- · Contractor Prime contractor
- . Work Assignment No Number of the WA task as stated in the master contract.
- Activity The activity that is being performed.
- . EPA Contract No. Number of master contract under which work is being performed.
- Revision: No. "Initial" for new WA, Each subsequent submission of the WAF will be sequentially numbered (1,2,3,4.) for each approved submission. Should be assigned by the EPA CO.
- · Date Date the form is prepared
- Contractor Control No. Number assigned by the Contractor as internal control.
- Modification No. To be supplied by the EPA Contracting Officer

2. DESCRIPTION OF ACTION

- . New Work -Assignment -- Checked by EPA upon issuance of new WA.
- Interim Amendment Checked for all revisions to a WA (prior to WP approval) which changes SOW, LOE, dollars, entire project schedule, or adds additional Contractor tasks. This action requires the attached submission of a SOW, budget spreadsheets (which present the estimated budget by task), and a detailed schedule of interim tasks.
- Incremental Funding is given through an EPA contract modification. Is used when the current funding level is not sufficient compared to the aproved work plan. Provides additional funds for a project at any time when that project is only partially funded.
- Partial WP Approval Checked when WP is approved as partial-Attachments include SOW, budget as summarized on signed SF-1411 or OF-60, and schedule.
- Final WP Approval Checked when WP is approved as final. Attachments include SOW, budget as summarzed on signed SF-1411 or OF-60, and schedule.
- Amendment to Final WP Approval Checked when the final approved WP is revised to reflect
 a change in SOW, budget or schedule. This action requires the attached submission of a change
 in the SOW, budget spreadsheets summarzed on signed SF-1411 or OF-60, and/or detailed
 schedule with explanation as appropriate, and any required supporting information. May require
 additional funding and purchase request.
- Technical Direction Memorandum Checked when the execution of the WP is phased and the
 phasing of the work is within the approved SOW, budget, and schedule. This action, which is
 approved at the EPA Regional level, requires the attached submission of a SOW, budget, and
 schedule to define the phased work to be performed within the timits of the approved WP.
- Work Assignment Completion Notification Checked and submitted by the Contractor upon completion of the work assignment, 'EPA CO signature will constitute a stop work order. There are no attachments.
- 3. BUDGET INFORMATION In all cases, LOE shown will be strictly technical LOE. The accompanying spreadsheets will show non-technical LOE if firm's overhead structure requires.
 - Current Show the most recent cost data consistent with the WA status.
 - This Action Show the budget change being proposed in accordance with the WAF action being requested.
 - Total Show the summation of the current and this action lines that will become the new CURRENT when approved.
 - Total Funding Received Total dollar amount which has been authorized for the WA by the EPA CO through contract modifications.
 - Interim Sudget The approved current budget for the WA prior to final WP approval.
 - Approved WP Budget The approved total budget for the WA reflecting technical LOE and total
 estimated cost. Must be supported by SF-1411 or OF-80. This includes partial work plan approvals.
 - Expenditure Limit (EL) The budget authorized by the EPA RPM and RPO for use by the Contractor
 These columns will normally be used to track phased expenditure limits associated with phased WA.
 It allows the EPA Region to release partial funds associated with the phase of work being
 accomplished and it allows the responsibility for the monitoring and reallocation of these funds to
 remain at the Regional level. This allows for better control while reducing approval delays. The EL is
 never to exceed total funding received. The EL will be set by Regional EPA.
- WA COMPLETION DATE Identifies the date upon which the entire WA is to be completed and allows for the tracking of revisions to this date.
- 5. EPA COMMENTS Used for EPA comments if additional explanation is needed.
- 6. APPROVAL Signature blocks for document approval by defined stages of the WA and approval action taken by the EPA CO. Approval of the CO will be required in all cases except the Expenditure Limit column. The EPA Regional RPM/RPO may approve Expenditure Limits provided the amount is within the total funding received and the work is consistent with the approved WP and schedule. Upon approval of the Expenditure Limit by the EPA Region, a copy shall be sent to the EPA CO PO by the Region.